PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU MAY 3 1 2006 NOTIFICATION CONCERNING LICATA, Jane, Massey TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL Licata & Tyrrell P.C. Docket System PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY 66 E. Main Street Status Re. (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION Marlton, NJ 08053 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUEOCKET BOUK TREATY) (PCT Rule 44bis.1(c)) CLOSE) extered US has Date of mailing (day/month/year) 18 May 2006 (18.05.2006) Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTICE DRE-0166 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04 November 2003 (04.11.2003) PCT/US2004/037076 04 November 2004 (04.11.2004) Applicant DREXEL UNIVERSITY et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 70

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference DRE-0166	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US2004/037076	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 November 2004 (04.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04 November 2003 (04.11.2003)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant DREXEL UNIVERSITY						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Box No. I Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).						
			Date of issuance of this report 08 May 2006 (08.05.2006)				
	The International Burea		Authorized officer				
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Agnes Wittmann-Regis				
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 70				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the REC'D 0 2 MAY 2005 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: JANE MASSEY LICATA LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET WRITTEN OPINION OF THE MARLTON, NJ 08053 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) 28 APRIME Date of mailing (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below DRE-0166 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 04 November 2004 (04.11.2004) 04 November 2003 (04.11.2003) PCT/US04/37076 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): D06M 11/73 and US CI.: 8/ 128.1, 127.5, 115.7; 423/447.2; 428/367 Applicant DREXEL UNIVERSITY 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Authorized officer

Stanley Silverman

Telephone No. 571-272-1

PC1

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/37076

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/37076

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement		·				
Novelty (N)	Claims	2	YES			
	Claims	1, 3-5	NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES			
	Claims	1-5	NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES			
industrial applications ()		NONE	NO			

Pay No. V. Descaped statement under Pule 43 his 1(a)(i) with regard to povelty inventive step or industrial

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1 and 3-5 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Goldstein (US 6,126,888).

Goldstein teaches that a fiber yarn may be prepared by mixing organic fibers such as rayon, silk, and KEVLAR with carbon nanotubes to form a composite yarn or fiber. Claims 3-5 merely recite known uses of silk fibers and the intended use of the composite fiber is not seen to limit the fiber itself.

Claims 1-5 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Glatkowski et al. (US 6,265,466 B1) in view of Senecal et al. (US 2001/0045547 A1).

Glatkowski et al. teach the formation of composite fibers containing polymeric materials including natural and synthetic polymers, as well as polymeric materials of plant, animal, or microbial origin. It would have been obvious to use silk, as it is seen to be a polymeric material of animal origin. Glatkowski teaches that the composites may be formed into fibers, which can be formed by conventional processing techniques. Senecal et al. teaches a process wherein composite fibers containing polymer materials and carbon nanotubes are formed by electrostatic spinning. It would have been obvious to use electrospinning, as taught by Senecal et al., in the process of Glatkowski et al. in order to process the composite into fibers. Claims 3-5 merely recite known uses of silk fibers and the intended use of the composite fiber is not seen to limit the fiber itself.

Claim 2 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not teach the electrospinning of fibers containing silk and carbon nanotubes.

Claims 1-5 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.